

Application No. 10/043,552  
Response to Office Action Mailed June 23, 2004  
Date of Response: September 23, 2004  
Page 10 of 11

## REMARKS

### Summary of the Office Action

1. Claims 41-44, 47-54, 59, 61-65, 67-71, 74-75 and 79-80 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), as being anticipated by Loo (US 2003/0137803).
2. Claims 45-46, 56, 72-73, 77-78 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Loo in view of Jenks (2002/0065902).

### Summary of the Response

This amendment combines claim 41 and 60, and claims 61 and 66. Since claims 60 and 66 were deemed to contain allowable subject matter, Applicant submits that this amendment places the application in allowance.

Claims 60, 66, 79 and 80 are cancelled.

### Detailed Remarks


Applicant respectfully submits entry of the amendment, and allowance of the application. The amendment to the claim simply combines Claim 60 with Claim 1, and Claim 66 with Claim 61. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/043,552  
Response to Office Action Mailed June 23, 2004  
Date of Response: September 23, 2004  
Page 11 of 11

### CONCLUSION

A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If there are any questions or comments that the Examiner wishes to direct to Applicant's attorney, the Examiner is invited to call Applicant's attorney at (408) 551-6632. The Patent Office is authorized to charge all unpaid fees to the deposit account 50-1914.

Submitted by,



Van Mahamedi

Reg. No. 42,828

Correspondence Address:

Van Mahamedi

SHEMWELL GREGORY & COURTNEY

4880 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 201


San Jose, CA 95129

#### CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (703) 872-9306.

on Sept. 23, 2004  
(Date)

by

  
(Signature)  
Van Mahamedi